arXiv:quant-ph/0503119v1 12 Mar 2005

Extension maps

Aik-meng Kuah[1], **E.C.G. Sudarshan**
Department of Physics
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, Texas 78712-1081

Mar 12 2005

Abstract

We define extension maps as maps that extend a system (through adding ancillary systems) without changing the state in the original system. We show, using extension maps, why a completely positive operation on an initially entangled system results in a non positive mapping of a subsystem. We also show that any trace preserving map, either positive or negative, can be decomposed in terms of an extension map and a completely positive map.

[1] kuah@physics.utexas.edu

1 Introduction

This paper ties in with previous papers on non completely positive maps [4] and the dynamical evolution of initially open entangled systems [5].

It is well known [1] that any completely positive linear map of a quantum system can be written as a unitary operation of an extended system where the original quantum state is coupled separably with an ancillary system (subscript e) in a known state:

$$\Lambda\rho = Tr_e[u\rho \otimes |e_0\rangle\langle e_0|u^\dagger] \tag{1}$$

However it was shown in a recent paper [5] that dynamics of initially entangled systems need not be given by completely positive maps. Here we will outline the problem more concisely.

We wish to consider the linear transformation of a subspace of a system that undergoes a unitary evolution:

$$\Lambda\rho = Tr_e[uE(\rho)u^\dagger] \tag{2}$$

E is what we call an extension map. An extension map should satisfy:

$$Tr_e[E(\rho)] = \rho \tag{3}$$

This construction of using an extension map simplifies the problem - the map Λ is completely positive if and only if the extension map E is completely positive, since the unitary transformation u is by definition completely positive.

For example, to write a completely positive map as a larger unitary transformation [1], the extension map is chosen to be:

$$E(\rho) = \rho \otimes |0_e\rangle\langle 0_e| \tag{4}$$

For the problem outlined in [5], we wish to understand the mapping of a subsystem of a larger system, which is initially in a pure entangled state and undergoes unitary evolution. We find that the extension map has to be either non-linear (ie. like purification [3]), or if linear, can only be positive on certain density matrices. To demonstrate, consider any pure entangled state $|\Phi\rangle$, the density matrix of a subsystem would be mixed. For example, in a $2 \otimes 2$ system, we could have:

$$E(\lambda_1|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + \lambda_2|\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2|) = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| \tag{5}$$

If the extension map is linear, then we can expand:

$$\lambda_1 E(|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1|) + \lambda_2 E(|\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2|) = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| \tag{6}$$

The RHS is a pure state, which is convexly non-decomposable. Therefore E cannot be positive on all states, in this example it cannot be positive on $|\phi_1\rangle$ and/or $|\phi_2\rangle$.

This explains why a non-positive map results from what should be a completely positive operation (unitary transformation); it stems from the choice of a non-positive extension map.

2 Extension maps for non positive maps

An interesting question would be to ask: given a non positive linear map Λ, can a corresponding unitary evolution and extension map be found? In this section we construct a solution to this problem.

Let us consider only trace preserving maps:

$$Tr[\Lambda\rho] = \sum_{rs}^{N} \sum_{r'} \Lambda_{r'r,r's}\rho_{rs} = Tr[\rho] \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{r'} \Lambda_{r'r,r's} = \delta_{rs} \tag{8}$$

We can write the map Λ as the difference of two completely positive hermitian maps. Let us write the Λ in its canonical decomposition:

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i} \lambda_i L_i \times L_i^\dagger \tag{9}$$

We simply group the positive eigenvalues/matrices and the negative eigenvalues/matrices to define:

$$\Lambda^{(+)} = \sum_{i|\lambda_i>0} \lambda_i L_i \times L_i^\dagger \tag{10}$$

$$\Lambda^{(-)} = \sum_{i|\lambda_i<0} |\lambda_i| L_i \times L_i^\dagger \tag{11}$$

Note that by definition, both $\Lambda^{(+)}$ and $\Lambda^{(-)}$ are completely positive. And we have:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^{(+)} - \Lambda^{(-)} \tag{12}$$

Let us define matrices J and K as follows (this construction was first used in [4]):

$$J_{sr} = \sum_{r'} \Lambda^{(+)}_{r'r,r's} \tag{13}$$

$$K_{sr} = \sum_{r'} \Lambda^{(-)}_{r'r,r's} \tag{14}$$

First we note that the matrix J (and similarly K) is hermitian:

$$J_{sr} = \sum_{r'} \sum_{i|\lambda_i>0} \lambda_i \{L_i\}_{r'r} \{L_i\}^\dagger_{sr'} = J^*_{rs} \tag{15}$$

Next we note that J cannot be singular if the map Λ is trace preserving, since the trace preserving condition is simply:

$$J - K = 1; J > 0, K \geq 0 \tag{16}$$

J and K are partially traced matrices of $\Lambda^{(+)}$ and $\Lambda^{(-)}$ which are completely positive, therefore J and J must be positive. Given $J = 1 + K$ and the matrices are all positive, it follows that J cannot be singular.

However it is possible that K is singular. Let us write down the canonical decomposition of K (keeping in mind K is hermitian):

$$K = \sum_q k_q |\psi_q\rangle\langle\psi_q|; k_q > 0 \tag{17}$$

We can define a pseudo-inverse of matrix K as:

$$K^{-1} = \sum_q k_q^{-1} |\psi_q\rangle\langle\psi_q| \tag{18}$$

$$K^{-1}K = \sum_q |\psi_q\rangle\langle\psi_q| \equiv \Psi \tag{19}$$

Let us also define an orthornormal set of eigenvectors $|\phi_q\rangle$ spanning the singular subspace of K:

$$K|\phi_q\rangle = 0 \tag{20}$$

We can show that $\Lambda^{(-)}$ must destroy all information in this subspace. Let us consider:

$$Tr[\Lambda^{(-)}(|\phi_q\rangle\langle\phi_q|)] = \sum_{rs} K_{sr}\{\phi_q\}_r\{\phi_q\}_s^* = 0 \tag{21}$$

Since $\Lambda^{(-)}$ is completely positive and hermitian, and $|\phi_q\rangle\langle\phi_q|$ is positive, if the trace of the result is zero then the result itself must be zero.

Next let us show:

$$\Lambda^{(-)}(|\phi_q\rangle\langle\psi_r|) = \Lambda^{(-)}(|\psi_r\rangle\langle\phi_q|) = 0 \tag{22}$$

Let us define the matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mn} &= \Lambda^{(-)}_{m\phi_q, n\phi_q} \\ B_{mn} &= \Lambda^{(-)}_{m\phi_q, n\psi_r} \\ D_{mn} &= \Lambda^{(-)}_{m\psi_r, n\psi_r} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and the matrix:

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^\dagger & D \end{bmatrix} \tag{24}$$

Z is a submatrix of $\Lambda^{(-)}$ so it is non-negative . We showed that $A = 0$ in equation 21, therefore it follows $B = B^\dagger = 0$ otherwise Z would be negative.

This gives us a very useful result:

$$\Lambda^{(-)}(\rho) = \Lambda^{(-)}(\Psi\rho) \tag{25}$$

which we obtain by inserting the identity before ρ:

$$1 = \sum_u |\psi_u\rangle\langle\psi_u| + \sum_q |\phi_q\rangle\langle\phi_q| \tag{26}$$

Now we can move on to the main result – defining an extension map and unitary evolution for a non completely positive map Λ. Let us define the extension map:

$$E(\rho) = J\rho \otimes |e_{(+)}\rangle\langle e_{(+)}| - K\rho \otimes |e_{(-)}\rangle\langle e_{(-)}| \tag{27}$$

This satisfies the condition:

$$Tr_e[E(\rho)] = (J - K)\rho = \rho \tag{28}$$

We note that the dimension of the space needed for this extended state is $dim(J) + dim(K)$, and $dim(J) = N$ since we have noted that J cannot be singular.

Then let us define a map Ω:

$$\Omega(\rho \otimes |e_{(+)}\rangle\langle e_{(+)}|) = \Lambda^{(+)}(J^{-1}\rho) \otimes |e_{(+)}\rangle\langle e_{(+)}| \tag{29}$$

$$\Omega(\rho \otimes |e_{(-)}\rangle\langle e_{(-)}|) = \Lambda^{(-)}(K^{-1}\rho) \otimes |e_{(-)}\rangle\langle e_{(-)}| \tag{30}$$

Ω is completely positive since all its components, $\Lambda^{(+)}$, $\Lambda^{(-)}$, J and K, are positive. It is also trace preserving, since for the $(+)$ component:

$$Tr[\Lambda^{(+)}(J^{-1}\rho)] = \Lambda^{(+)}_{r'r,r's} J^{-1}_{rt} \rho_{ts} = J_{sr} J^{-1}_{rt} \rho_{ts} = Tr[\rho] \tag{31}$$

For the $(-)$ component, singularities in $\Lambda^{(-)}$ poses a minor problem:

$$Tr[\Lambda^{(-)}(K^{-1}\rho)] = Tr[\Psi\rho] \tag{32}$$

However, we note that after applying the extension map the domain of states is $S\rho$, and $\Psi(S\rho) = S\rho$, so this component map is trace preserving on this domain.

Therefore the map Ω is completely positive and trace preserving. Putting Ω and E together we have:

$$Tr_e[\Omega(E(\rho))] = \Lambda(\rho) \tag{33}$$

It is a known procedure to write the completely positive and trace preserving map Ω as a unitary transformation u in an extended space. The dimension of this space is $dim(J) * l_{(+)} + dim(K) * l_{(-)}$, where $l_{(+)}$ and $l_{(-)}$ are the number of eigenmatrices of $\Lambda^{(+)}$ and $\Lambda^{(-)}$ respectively, and $l_{(+)} + l_{(-)} \leq N^2$.

3 Conclusions

We showed that any trace preserving map, whether positive or negative, can be expanded in terms of an extension map and a completely positive map. We also described a procedure to make any map trace preserving.

References

[1] E.C.G. Sudarshan, P.M. Mathews, J. Rau, *Stochastic Dynamics of Quantum-Mechanical Systems*, Phys. Rev. Vol.121 No.3, 920-924 (1961)

[2] M. Choi, *Positive Linear Maps on C*-Algebras*, Can. J. Math Vol.24 No.3, 520-529 (1972)

[3] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, J.A. Smolin and W.K. Wootters, *Purification of noisy entanglement and faithful teleportation via noisy channels*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 722 (1996)

[4] E.C.G. Sudarshan, A. Shaji, *Structure and Parametrization of Generic Stochastic Maps of Density Matrices*, J. Phys. A, 36, 5073-5081 (2003)

[5] T.F. Jordan, A. Shaji, E.C.G. Sudarshan, *Dynamics of initially entangled open quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. A 70, 1 (2004)